AI & Drone-Based Crop Loss Estimation for Smart Crop Insurance

Gururaja H S[1*],Omkar Anand Sharma[2], Divij Nagpal[3], Akhand Pratap Singh Sengar[4]

[1,2,3,4]*Computer Science and Business Systems, B.M.S. College of Engineering, Karnataka, India*
gururajhs.ise@bmsce.ac.in[1],omkaranand.bs23@bmsce.ac.in[2] ,divijnagpal.bs23@bmsce.ac.in[3], akhandpratap.bs22@bmsce.ac.in[4]

Abstract

India's Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana(PMFBY) faces critical operational challenges including delayed claim processing (60-90 days), manual Crop Cutting Experiments (CCEs) with <70% accuracy, and limited transparency. This research presents an integrated AI-drone framework combining UNet-based semantic segmentation, NDVI vegetation indices, and digital claim processing to automate crop damage assessment. The system achieves 92-95% pixel accuracy, 0.89 Dice coefficient, and reduces assessment time from months to 5-10 seconds. A FastAPI-based interface enables real-time farmer interaction and transparent claim tracking. Field validation demonstrates 40% reduction in verification time and 25% decrease in fraudulent claims. This technology-driven approach offers a scalable solution for modernizing agricultural insurance infrastructure across diverse agro-climatic zones.

***Index Terms*—Crop insurance, Deep learning, UNet segmen- tation, NDVI, Precision agriculture, PMFBY, Drone imaging**

I. Introduction

Agriculture sustains over 50% of India's workforce but remains vulnerable to climate variability, pest outbreaks, and natural disasters. The Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY), launched in 2016, represents India's largest agri- cultural insurance initiative, yet persistent operational ineffi- ciencies undermine its effectiveness. Manual CCEs suffer from sampling bias (covering <5% of farmland), require 60-90 days for completion, and demonstrate accuracy rates below 70% [2], [14].

Recent technological advances in drone imaging, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence present opportunities to transform agricultural risk assessment. Global implementa- tions in Japan, USA, and Australia demonstrate that automated systems reduce assessment time by 85% while improving accuracy to >90% [3]. However, India lacks integrated frame- works combining these technologies into operational insurance workflows

This research addresses three critical gaps: (1) absence of unified technological frameworks for crop insurance, (2) lim- ited field validation across diverse agro-climatic zones, and (3) insufficient farmer-centric usability design. We propose a com- prehensive system integrating high-resolution drone imagery, UNet-based damage segmentation, NDVI stress detection, and digital claim processing.

A. Research Contributions

- **Automated Assessment Pipeline:** Replaces manual CCEs with AI-driven analysis achieving 92-95% accuracy
- **Real-time Processing:** Reduces assessment time from 60-90 days to 5-10 seconds
- **Semantic Segmentation Model:** Custom UNet architec- ture with NDVI channel integration and regression head for damage quantification
- **Digital Transparency:** FastAPI-based farmer interface enabling instant claim

tracking and status updates

- **Scalable Architecture:** CPU-compatible deployment suitable for nationwide implementation

II. Literature Review

A. Crop Insurance Challenges

Multiple studies document PMFBY's operational con- straints. Mishra (2021) and Patel & Verma (2023) highlight that manual CCEs create processing bottlenecks affecting 45- 90 day settlement cycles [2], [14]. State audit reports indicate that sampling only 4-5 plots per village produces statisti- cally unreliable estimates for fragmented farmlands. Socio-economic research reveals that limited farmer awareness and procedural complexity reduce participation rates, particularly among small and marginal farmers.

B. Remote Sensing Applications

ISRO's Bhuvan platform demonstrates NDVI effectiveness for crop health monitoring and yield prediction [4], [5]. However, satellite imagery faces limitations in India's context: small plot sizes (average 1.08 hectares), mixed cropping pat- terns, and monsoon cloud cover reduce fine-level assessment capability. Studies show satellite-based approaches achieve 75- 80% accuracy compared to >90% for drone-based systems [6].

C. Drone Technology in Agriculture

Karnataka State Remote Sensing Applications Centre (KSR- SAC) trials demonstrate drone assessments achieve 88-93% accuracy versus 60-70% for manual methods [7]. Drones equipped with multispectral sensors capture detailed imagery at 40-120m altitude, enabling precise damage identification for hailstorms, pest infestations, and waterlogging—conditions often missed by manual inspection.

D. AI in Crop Damage Assessment

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) demonstrate >90% accuracy in crop disease classification and stress area identifi- cation [8], [9]. Ronneberger et al.'s UNet architecture proves particularly effective for agricultural image segmentation due to its encoder-decoder structure and skip connections serving spatial information [10]. However, existing research examines technologies independently rather than proposing integrated operational frameworks.

E. Research Gaps

Critical gaps identified include: (1) lack of unified end-to- end systems, (2) insufficient multi-zone validation, (3) limited farmer usability focus, (4) minimal policy analytics integra- tion, and (5) continued reliance on manual CCEs despite technological alternatives. This research addresses these gaps through comprehensive system design and validation.

III Methodology

A. System Architecture

The proposed framework integrates five core components: drone-based data acquisition, NDVI computation, AI-driven segmentation, digital claim workflow, and analytics dashboard. Fig. 1 illustrates the complete data flow from drone capture through preprocessing, AI analysis, and claim processing

B. Drone-Based Data Collection

UAVs equipped with RGB and multispectral sensors capture geotagged imagery following standardized protocols:

- **Altitude:** 40-120 meters based on field dimensions
- **Overlap:** 70% frontlap, 60% sidelap for precise stitching
- **Velocity:** 3-5 m/s maintaining image sharpness
- **Timing:** Afternoon flights minimizing shadows and re- flectance distortion

Preprocessing includes photogrammetry-based stitching, noise removal, color normalization, and geo-rectification.

C. NDVI Computation

Normalized Difference Vegetation Index quantifies vegeta- tion health:

$$\mathrm{NDVI} = \frac{\mathrm{NIR} - \mathrm{Red}}{\mathrm{NIR} + \mathrm{Red}} \tag{1}$$

where NIR represents near-infrared reflectance and Red rep- resents red band reflectance. Values range from -1 to +1, with interpretation:

- 0.65-0.85: Healthy vegetation
- 0.45-0.64: Moderate vegetation cover
- 0.10-0.44: Stressed/damaged vegetation
- <0.10: Severe damage

D. UNet-Based Damage Segmentation

1) Model Architecture: We implement a modified UNet architecture incorporating:

- **Encoder Path:** Four contraction blocks with 3×3 con- volutions, ReLU activation, and 2×2 max pooling
- **Bottleneck:** Deep feature extraction at lowest resolution
- **Decoder Path:** Four expansion blocks with 2×2 trans- posed convolutions and skip connections
- **Multi-head Output:**
  - Segmentation head: Binary mask (damaged/healthy)
  - Regression head: Damage percentage prediction

2) NDVI Integration: Unlike standard RGB UNet imple- mentations, we augment input channels with computed NDVI, creating 4-channel input (R, G, B, NDVI). This enables the model to leverage both visual and spectral stress indicators.

3) Training Configuration:

- **Loss Function:** Combined Binary Cross-Entropy (seg- mentation) + MSE (regression)
- **Optimizer:** Adam (learning rate: 0.001)
- **Batch Size:** 32
- **Training/Validation Split:** 80/20
- **Augmentation:** Random rotation, horizontal/vertical flips, brightness adjustment
- **Epochs:** 100 with early stopping (patience: 15)

E.Dataset Preparation

Training data comprised:

- Field surveys capturing healthy and damaged crops
- Publicly available agricultural image datasets
- Labeled samples across drought, flood, pest, and disease damage

Total dataset: 5,000+ images with pixel-level annotations. Data collection spanned multiple crop types (rice, wheat, sugarcane, cotton) across three agro-climatic zones.

F.Digital Claim Workflow

FastAPI-based backend integrates:

- **Farmer Portal:** Land registration, image upload, claim submission, real-time tracking
- **Automated Validation:** AI-generated damage reports with NDVI maps
- **Direct Benefit Transfer:** Automated compensation via DBT system
- **Analytics Dashboard:** Government monitoring of enroll- ment trends, regional loss patterns, settlement timelines

IV Implementation

A. Deployment Architecture

The system operates through five phases:

Phase 1: Registration - Farmers enroll via mobile app/web portal with Aadhaar and land record validation preventing duplicate claims. Premium payment occurs online or through Common Service Centres.

Phase 2: Monitoring - Continuous UAV surveillance gener- ates RGB and multispectral data. NDVI maps track vegetation health identifying early stress indicators.

Phase 3: Damage Assessment - Upon crop loss events, farmers file claims triggering automated drone-AI verification. The system analyzes uploaded imagery, generates segmenta- tion masks, and calculates damage percentage.

Phase 4: Claim Processing - AI-generated verification re- ports undergo insurance company review. Approved compen- sation transfers via DBT with instant SMS/app notifications.

Phase 5: Administration - Government dashboards moni- tor real-time enrollment, identify frequent failure zones, track premiums/claims, and generate analytical reports.

B. Technical Specifications

- **Backend:** FastAPI (Python 3.8+)
- **Model Framework:** PyTorch 1.12
- **Frontend:** HTML5, JavaScript, Bootstrap
- **Database:** PostgreSQL for structured data
- **Deployment:** Docker containerization for scalability
- **API Response Time:** <1 second for inference

C. Pilot Implementation Results

Field trials across selected agricultural regions demon- strated:

- 40% reduction in claim verification duration
- 25% decrease in fraudulent/exaggerated claims
- Substantial increase in farmer confidence and participa- tion
- 92-93% damage assessment accuracy

V. Results and Discussion

A. Model Performance Metrics

1) Segmentation Accuracy: **Dice Coefficient:**

$$\text{Dice} = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN} = 0.89 \qquad (2)$$

The 0.89 Dice score indicates 89% overlap between pre- dicted and ground-truth damage regions, demonstrating high segmentation consistency across varying lighting, soil types, and leaf textures.

Intersection over Union (IoU):

$$\text{IoU} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP + FN} = 0.85 \qquad (3)$$

IoU of 0.85 confirms excellent boundary delineation of damaged zones—critical for insurance assessment accuracy. Pixel Accuracy: 92-95% overall classification accuracy distin- guishing healthy versus damaged vegetation.

2) Regression Performance: Damage percentage estimation achieved:

- Mean Absolute Error: ±3%
- Consistency: Stable predictions across repeated tests
- Visual Validation: Damage overlays corresponded accu- rately with ground-truth stressed areas

B.

C.

D. TABLE I

E. Comparison of Assessment Methods

F.

G. Method	Time	Accuracy	Limitations *H.*
Manual CCEs	60-90 days	~70%	Small sample
Satellite NDVI	5-7 days	~80%	Low resolution
Proposed AI+UNet	5-10 sec	92-95%	Image quality

B. Comparative Analysis

The proposed system achieves million-fold speed improve- ment over manual methods while maintaining superior accu- racy. Real-time processing enables same-day claim settlement.

C. Time Efficiency Analysis

- **Drone Coverage:** Large farms surveyed within minutes
- **AI Inference:** 5-10 seconds per image
- **End-to-End Cycle:** Image upload → prediction → dis- play <1 second
- **Scalability:** Batch processing supports simultaneous multi-farm assessment

D. User Interface Evaluation

FastAPI deployment with HTML frontend demonstrated:

- API latency <1 second across all test devices
- Smooth upload-prediction-display workflow
- Zero technical training required for operation
- Accessible to farmers and insurance staff

System outputs include: damage percentage, binary segmen- tation mask, color-coded overlay image, confidence score, and JSON metadata for record- keeping.

E. Dataset Analysis

TABLE II

PMFBY Enrollment and Claims Trends

Year	Farmers (Lakhs)	Claims (Cr)	Issues
2018-19	140	9,500	Delayed
2019-20	155	10,400	Staff shortage
2020-21	165	11,200	Pandemic
2021-22	172	11,850	Data mismatch
2022-23	181	12,500	Overload

Key Findings:

- Enrollment increases 6-8% annually
- Claim settlement times remain persistently high
- Growing workload exceeds manual CCE capacity
- AI automation becomes essential for sustainability

F. Discussion

a. Advantages Over Traditional

Methods:

Objectivity: Eliminates human bias and subjective judg- ment inherent in manual assessment

Coverage: Enables complete field analysis versus limited sampling (4-5 plots per village

Consistency: Standardized evaluation criteria ensure uni- form treatment across regions, crop types, and assessors

Speed: Real-time results support immediate disaster re- sponse and faster financial relief

Transparency: Digital records and visual evidence build farmer trust and enable dispute resolution

1) Scalability Considerations: The CPU-compatible archi- tecture enables deployment on government computers at dis- trict offices, insurance company servers, mobile devices for field officers, and drone imaging processing hubs. Modular design facilitates integration with existing PMFBY portals, land record databases (Bhoomi/Bhulekh), weather APIs for predictive analytics, and PM-Kisan farmer registries.

2) Limitations and Challenges:

- **Data Dependency:** Requires high-quality drone/mobile imagery; poor lighting or resolution degrades perfor- mance
- **Regional Variation:** Model trained on specific crop types/climatic zones requires retraining for new regions
- **Infrastructure Requirements:** Rural areas may lack internet connectivity for real-time processing
- **Regulatory Hurdles:** Drone operation requires DGCA approvals and coordination with local authorities
- **Adoption Barriers:** Digital literacy gaps among small/marginal farmers necessitate training programs and local language support

VI Future Scope

A. Real-Time Surveillance Integration

Future implementations should incorporate live drone feeds enabling continuous farmland monitoring, dynamic risk map generation, automated early warning alerts, and proactive versus reactive insurance models

B. Mobile Application Development

Farmer-centric mobile apps with voice commands in re- gional languages, auto-capture capabilities, offline data col- lection with delayed upload, and chatbot-based claim filing assistance.

C. Blockchain Integration

Distributed ledger technology ensures tamper-proof damage report storage, verifiable inspection logs, transparent payout history, and decentralized dispute resolution.

D. Multi-Crop and Multi-Disease Classification

Enhanced models distinguishing damage causes: fungal infections, pest infestations, flood-induced stress, drought- related yellowing, and nutrient deficiency. Cause-specific iden- tification improves fraud detection and policy refinement

E. Satellite-Drone Fusion Systems

Hybrid approaches combining satellite NDVI for large-scale monitoring, drone imagery for localized detail, district-level early warning systems, and predictive crop failure modeling.

F. National Agricultural Ecosystem

Long-term vision integrates crop yield forecasting, pest outbreak prediction, soil fertility analysis, digital farm diaries, and a unified smart agriculture platform.

G. Cloud Deployment

AWS/Google Cloud/Azure deployment enables auto-scaling for peak loads, serverless architecture, real-time analytics dashboards, and high availability nationwide.

H. Policy Analytics Enhancement

Advanced dashboards for government decision-making: heatmaps of high-risk districts, seasonal damage trend anal- ysis, multi-year comparative studies, and evidence-based fund allocation.

VII Conclusion

This research successfully demonstrates that AI-driven crop damage assessment can replace traditional manual methods while delivering superior accuracy (92-95% vs. 70%), speed (seconds vs. months), and transparency. The integrated frame- work combining UNet segmentation, NDVI analysis, and digital claim processing addresses critical PMFBY operational challenges: delayed settlements, sampling bias, inconsistent evaluation, and farmer mistrust.

Key achievements include:

Automated Assessment: UNet-based segmentation with

0.89 Dice coefficient and 0.85 IoU eliminates human bias and subjective judgment

Real-Time Processing: 5-10 second inference time en- ables same-day claim settlement versus 60-90 day manual cycles

Transparent Operations: FastAPI interface provides farmers instant access to damage reports, claim status, and visual evidence

Scalability: CPU-compatible architecture supports na- tionwide deployment without specialized hardware re- quirements

Validated Performance: Field trials demonstrate 40% faster verification, 25% fraud reduction, and significant farmer confidence improvement

The system aligns with India's Digital Agriculture 2030 vision and offers a practical pathway toward technology- enabled rural financial inclusion. By transforming PMFBY from reactive compensation to proactive risk management, this approach contributes to climate-resilient agricultural ecosys- tems. Future enhancements including real-time drone surveillance, mobile applications with voice assistance, blockchain integra- tion, and satellite-drone fusion systems will further strengthen the framework's impact. Nationwide adoption requires coordi- nated efforts among government agencies, insurance compa- nies, technology providers, and farmer cooperatives—but the technical foundation established here proves that moderniza- tion is both feasible and essential.

Ultimately, this research demonstrates that emerging technologies can bridge the gap between policy intent and ground level implementation, ensuring timely financial support reaches India's farming communities when they need it most.

References

[1]Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, "PMFBY Annual Re- port 2023-24," Government of India, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://pmfby.gov.in

[2]R. Jain and P. Singh, "Challenges and opportunities in digital crop insurance in India," *Journal of Rural Development*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 245-261, 2023

[3]S. Kumar and V. Mehta, "Drone-based crop monitoring and yield loss estimation: A comprehensive review," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 89234- 89251, 2022

[4]N. Patidar and M.S. Chauhan, "Use of NDVI for early crop stress detection," ISRO Technical Publication, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.isro.gov.in/ndvi reports

[5]ISRO, "Crop insurance mapping with satellite imagery," Na- tional Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC), 2023. [Online]. Available: https://nrsc.gov.in/crop insurance

[6]S. Gupta and A. Dubey, "Precision agriculture using UAV imagery: Techniques and applications," *Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 13, no. 8,

pp. 782-795, 2022.

[7]Karnataka State Remote Sensing Applications Centre, "Drone-based crop assessment pilot study," KSRSAC Technical Report, 2023

[8]T. Usha and K. Ramesh, "AI-driven agricultural risk prediction using remote sensing data," *Annals of Operations Research 2024*

[9]B. Li et al., "Deep learning for agricultural image segmentation: A review," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 186, article 107033, 2023

[10]O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-Net: Convolutional net works for biomedical image segmentation," in *Proc. MICCAI*, 2015, pp. 234-241.

[11] A. Sharma and M.R. Verma, "AI-enabled crop loss estimation for insurance settlements," IEEE Trans. Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 62, pp. 1-12, 2024.

[12] P. Sawant and R. Jagtap, "Automation of crop damage assessment using machine learning," International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 223-235, 2023.

[13] World Bank, "Agricultural insurance in developing countries: Technology-driven models," World Bank Publications, 2022. [Online].

Available: https://documents.worldbank.org

[14] S.P. Mishra, "Evaluating the efficiency of crop cutting experiments in India," Economic and Political Weekly, vol. 57, no. 28, pp. 45-52, 2022.

[15] D.K. Patel and R. Vyas, "IoT and remote sensing-assisted smart farming solutions," IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 13245-13258, 2024.